

**MINUTES**

JOINT GONZALES CITY COUNCIL & REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY  
REGULAR MEETING  
**JANUARY 18, 2011**  
6:00P.M.

**CALL TO ORDER**

Mayor Orozco called the meeting to order at 6:00p.m.

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

The flag salute was recited by all present.

**1. ROLL CALL-**

**CITY COUNCIL AND REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Mayor Pro Tem Scott Funk, Matt Gourley, Liz Silva, Jose G. Lopez, and Mayor Maria Orozco.

**STAFF PRESENT:**

City Manager René L. Mendez, City Attorney Michael F. Rodriguez, Consultant Bill Farrel, Administrative Specialist/Deputy City Clerk Mary Villegas, Building Official/Fire Marshal Michael Lechman, Public Safety Director Paul Miller, Public Works Director Carlos Lopez, Community Development Director Thomas Truskowski, and Emergency Services Director/Special Projects Analyst Harold Wolgamott.

\* Redevelopment Agency Item

**BUSINESS FROM THE PUBLIC**

2. There was none.

City Manager Mendez introduced our new Community Development Director Thomas Truskowski. He related that Mr. Truskowski came to us with a lot of years of experience working with County of Sacramento, City of Stockton, and had worked with the private and public sectors. He added that he had been at LAFCO the last year and a half doing volunteer work to stay in the planning field and getting to know the area. He added that he and his wife wanted to move to Monterey County and this was where they were looking to live.

Community Development Director Truskowski mentioned he was happy to be here and looked forward to meeting them personally and getting to know them better, as well as working hard for the Council and the citizens of Gonzales.

Mayor Orozco welcomed him.

## **CONSENT AGENDA**

3. Approve the January 3, 2011 Joint City Council and Redevelopment Agency Minutes
4. Approve City Accounts Payable Warrant #26255 – Matt Gourley
5. Approve City Accounts Payable Warrants #26344 - 26408
6. Departmental Activity Reports
  - a. Building/Fire Marshal Department
  - b. Public Safety Department
  - c. Public Works Department
  - d. Recreation Department
7. Consider Extension of the Consulting Services Agreement with Maury Treleven

Councilmember Gourley abstained from item 4 due to conflict of interest.

**Action:** Councilmember Lopez made a motion to approve the consent agenda items. Councilmember Silva seconded the motion. Approved by vote; all ayes. Councilmember Gourley abstained from item 4.

## **REGULAR AGENDA**

8. **Public Hearing** to Consider Approval of **a)** Resolution No. 2011-02, A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Gonzales Announcing Findings and Certifying the Gonzales 2010 General Plan Final Environmental Impact Report; and **b)** Resolution No. 2011-03, A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Gonzales Announcing Findings and Adopting the Gonzales 2010 General Plan

City Manager Mendez indicated that there had been a lot of work done in several years, and had good input from a lot of the participants, developers, land owners, as well as from the Citizens Advisory Committee that Mayor Pro Tem Funk and Councilmember Silva started on a few years ago. He mentioned that this committee worked with staff, the consultants and the land owners for a period of a couple of years and it was very remarkable and gratifying to see so many citizens and community members interested in the future of their community. He said it was a pleasure to be here and was still not done with the process, but this was one of the last steps in the process. There had been a lot of work the Council members and Planning Commissioners had spent reading corrections and changes. He believed we would not have been here without the good work of Mr. Farrel and the consultants. He also recognized our legal Counsel who did a lot of work making sure everything was fine tuned and we did not miss things.

Consultant Farrel went through the process to remind people how far we had come, and Mr. Carver was going to go over the final EIR and responses to comments. He told them he was glad to wrap up this General Plan. He thanked each of the Council members for being such a great Council to work with on this General Plan, as well as City Manager Mendez that made all of the difference in trying to get a good product out. The same went to the Planning Commissioners, Citizens Advisory Committee, and all of the property owners that put a lot of effort into trying to point staff the right way. He acknowledged Consultants Martin Carver and Charles Eadie who were very good people to work in this effort which quality and organization of the General Plan and EIR were top quality. He added that they

had spent a lot of time and care on the substance and details and responded over and over to revisions, which went beyond what they were obligated to do and he appreciated that. He explained that this had been a slow and deliberate process and it had not been quick. He related that the Council appointed the CAC committee that started out with about 20 local people and ended up with 12 who attended over fifteen meetings with staff and went through every one of the elements, as well as worked on several editions of the General Plan Land Use Map. He stated that the Planning Commission met about equal number of times and the Council met more, and added that they had four or five joint meetings.

He indicated that the plan that was before the Council this evening was the plan that staff prepared in July and the Council authorized staff to release in August with the Draft EIR, and that was what went out for public review. He added that after July, Mr. Carver and some of the technical consultants worked hard to complete the Draft EIR, which was a very thorough EIR that had technical consultants in traffic, biologic work, archeology, history, etc. He informed it was released for 45 days for public review which began August 2<sup>nd</sup> and concluded in the middle of September. He mentioned that at this point, all of the comments on the General Plan and the Draft EIR had been assembled and analyzed by the consultant and City staff and the Final EIR had been prepared. He concluded that the Planning Commission considered the Final EIR and the Draft Gonzales 2010 General Plan on December 14<sup>th</sup> and recommended both of the documents to the Council in their current form.

Martin Carver, Coastplans, provided a summary of the draft EIR, went over the comments received, and the EIR Addendum final clean up changes to the Gonzales 2010 General Plan. As part of the summary, he explained the aesthetics, greenhouse emissions, utilities and service systems, agricultural resources, transportation/traffic, air quality, public services, biological resources, cultural resources, hazards and hazardous materials, and mitigation measures.

He also highlighted on each of the comment letters received from the Transportation Agency for Monterey County, Salinas Valley Solid Waste Authority, Board of Forestry and Fire Protection, Local Agency Formation Commission, Hardt Mason Law, LandWatch Monterey County, California Department of Transportation, Monterey County Resource Management Agency, Johnson Moncreif, Monterey Bay Area Air Pollution Control District, 3 from Jackson Family Enterprises, Monterey County Department of Public Works, California Natural Resources Agency Department of Conservation, Monterey County Department of Health, and California Agency Commission.

Consultant Farrel informed that after the Planning Commission action last month, staff received four additional letters which were outlined in the staff report. He said that staff received a letter from LandWatch Monterey County that discussed some of the same environmental issues that were raised previously and provided additional information. The other letter was received from the Salinas Valley Solid Waste Authority, and one from US Fish and Wild Service. He mentioned that staff tried to carefully review and respond to those letters and the responses were attached to the resolution certifying the EIR. He said that none of the comments seemed to us to raise new or critical issues. He remarked that the comments from the SVSWA reflected what we felt to be a little bit of a misunderstanding by what we were actually doing, so staff tried to clarify the City's position with regard to the

General Plan as it interfaced with the MOU that existed. Staff did not believe there was any conflict between the two.

Councilmember Gourley asked what our response page number was.

City Attorney Rodriguez responded that they started on page 69, Exhibit A, to Resolution No. 2011-03.

Mr. Farrel indicated that the letter he was referring to with the SVSWA was on page 87 and our responses followed.

He mentioned that the last letter staff received was from US Fish and Wildlife Service and it was received three months after the Draft EIR circulation period closed, but because the agency was important in a regulatory capacity, staff took special care to understand what they were concerned about. He said they recommended some different language on the way the hybridized California Tiger Salamander reflected in the policies. He thought since they ultimately hold the approval authority, we may as well incorporate the language they suggested. He indicated that a number of minor revisions were proposed to the General Plan and response to the comments, consisted of mitigation measures Mr. Carver outlined from the Final EIR and also included a set of changes which was Attachment B to the resolution. He added those included a number of items that the Jackson Family had raised in a previous comment. He believed we had done a good job trying to respond to the environmental impacts and make corrections and improvements where they were warranted, and the same went with the General Plan. He concluded that staff was prepared to recommend that the Council take action on both documents this evening, and if they get additional comments tonight, we would listen to what they were and would become part of the record. He added that if they received any letters, we would look at them also and they would be part of the record.

Mayor Orozco opened the public hearing at 6:43pm.

**Public Hearing:** Amy White, LandWatch Executive Director, commented on the traffic model. She said that the Final EIR quoted that the AMBAG Traffic Model “made adjustments to be able to project out to 20/50 which was the approximate time period during which build out of the urban growth area would occur. The plan assumes that the rate of growth in Gonzales would track AMBAG growth rates, therefore the forecast used in the traffic model is completely consistent with the proposed project.” She mentioned that since the traffic model was updated to include only land uses as part of the proposed project, and not land uses of adjacent jurisdictions, she really would like it explained how the traffic model accounted for cumulative traffic impacts that seemed to be a consistent problem throughout the Draft and Final EIR that this cumulative traffic model was not really complete. She indicated that AMBAG found that the build out population exceeded the forecast total for the City of Gonzales. She asked that if AMBAG was saying that, then how can a statement be made that the plan assumes the rate of growth in Gonzales would track AMBAG growth rates. She mentioned there seems to be a contradiction between what AMBAG was saying and what the Final EIR was saying. In regards to groundwater percolation, she quoted that the Final EIR finds “the amount of urban water finding its way back into the Salinas Valley groundwater basin can be expected to be greater than if the water was used for agriculture because less water would be lost through evaporation and

evapotranspiration”- Wikipedia. She commented that Wikipedia was not a good source for information for a General Plan, especially a plan that proposed this kind of growth. She added that the Wikipedia source defined the evapotranspiration, but it failed to support the finding that more water was percolated from urban use compared to ag use. She said that LandWatch really hoped that substantive data comparing water lost due to runoff from impermeable surface versus agriculture needs to be provided. She added that this finding also contradicted the finding in the Draft EIR that it seemed water use by agriculture and urban use was equivalent. She said there was no explanation why or how that was equivalent. She stated that they asked in their comments on the Draft EIR if the analysis accounted for groundwater percolation from ag use between 20 and 33% and the Draft EIR did not respond. She believed the consultant said that did not need to be responded to, but she thought it did. She hoped those questions would be answered before the Council moved on.

Mayor Orozco asked staff if they had responses to some of those questions.

Consultant Farrel indicated that staff responded to those points in the response back to their final letter where they were raised, and they were contained in the responses to the last letter. He said staff stood in those responses.

Mayor Orozco closed the public hearing at 6:47pm.

Councilmember Gourley praised staff for getting this done in such short order compared to other jurisdictions, and having LAFCO take a look at this document and not spending a whole lot of time critiquing it. He said that if they believed it was a great document, we would move forward with it and get it through the LAFCO process. He also thanked all the landowners for being so active in this also.

**Action:** Councilmember Gourley made a motion to move with staff’s recommendation. Councilmember Silva seconded the motion. Approved by vote; all ayes.

City Attorney Rodriguez asked for clarification if the motion he made was to approve Resolution No. 2011-02.

Councilmember Gourley responded yes, which was staff’s recommendation.

Mayor Orozco echoed Councilmember Gourley’s and City Manager Mendez’ comments earlier and thanked all those individuals who were involved, property owners, consultants, representatives, and attorneys for their participation in this process. She thanked Consultant Farrel and City Manager Mendez for a job well done.

Councilmember Gourley wished to amend his motion to include Resolution No. 2011-03.

City Attorney Rodriguez preferred two separate motions, one for each resolution.

**Action:** Councilmember Lopez made a motion to adopt Resolution No. 2011-03. Councilmember Silva seconded the motion. Approved by vote; all ayes.

9. Informational Item - Salinas Valley Solid Waste Authority

City Manager Mendez reported that the Mayor and Councilmember and SVSWA Representative Silva asked to bring an item before the Council early in the new year to present some information, if the Council had any questions as far as the relationship between the City and the SVSWA or documents that tried to define that relationship. He informed that the City had a long history with our landfill and the Authority which was formed after the landfill was built. He mentioned that history had been sort of a tenuous relationship and several years ago, the City being a member of the Authority, had a lot more input in the policies as it pertained to solid waste in the Salinas Valley.

He stated that there was a JPA that governed the Authority, as well as all the member Cities and the County of Monterey, which had representation and membership on the Authority. He said there was an Authority staff which was a big job to manage solid waste, and the Authority board was the oversee policy body for the Authority staff. He provided a copy of the JPA as well as the most recent amendment. He related that a few years ago, the City entered into negotiations with the Authority and crafted an MOU between us and the Authority that defined several things and established a new era of cooperation partnership, which was also provided to the Council. He mentioned the SVSWA General Manager was here to answer questions if any. He added that currently, the Authority had been spending a lot of time monitoring and doing a waste conversion technology project. He indicated that we needed to figure out a way to get rid of our waste moving forward in a positive, healthy, and proactive way. So, there were a lot of projects and things out there to consider and believed the Authority had been doing a good job evaluating all the different projects, proposals, and processes. He stated that we were under a lot of state mandates to improve, recycle, and reduce our waste stream.

He mentioned that he added to the report some discussion as far as the new process. He said that the Authority had been reviewing a couple of proposals on waste conversion technology and a recommendation was going before the Authority Board, and that was why he was presenting the Council this item to encourage the Authority to move whatever that direction happened to be. He also said there was discussion about some of the committee membership and the Authority as far as the different committees the Authority had. He mentioned that as of the writing of this item, he did not believe that was a huge issue anymore since some of the processes were coming to an end. He added that the City met frequently with the Authority staff and there was a regular meeting between the Authority and Tri-Cities where the City was invited to participate in. He concluded that the communication dialogue between the City and the Authority staff was good.

Mayor Orozco mentioned that she had asked the City Manager to bring some information to the Council because we had new Council members and thought it was important for them to get a little history. She thanked him for this good information.

**Public Comment:** Gary Alameda, citizen of Monterey County, asked the Council as they decided to choose or consider the new technology to please involve the community in those choices. He said he was glad to see that they had the talk to the General Plan where the community was involved and believed it was very important.

Christina Chavez-Wyatt, spoke on behalf of Plasco Energy Group of Canada which was one of the top two vendors who were in final negotiations with the Waste Authority. She said she was here to express a desire to continue working with staff moving forward and most of all, to reduce reuse recycle and recover. She said Plasco would complement community efforts to reduce waste and maximize recycling programs, and wished to work with the Waste Authority staff and communities to surpass the goal of 75% diversion by converting garbage that can not be recycled into green energy and other valuable products. She said also to develop a very important partnership of economic development. She elaborated on Plasco's Project. She said she had information with her regarding where garbage went, as well as information regarding what became of 1 ton waste in English and Spanish.

Patrick Mathews, SVSWA General Manager, thanked the City for the support, especially Councilmembers Gourley and Silva, and past Mayor Worthy for all the work they had done with the Authority. He said it had been a very long process for the Authority to come to the final finalist in their technology search to find something that would replace the landfill. He added that this was a monumental moment for them to move forward and look at these things seriously. He indicated that the Authority's decision was really to move projects into a higher level of review into the CEQA process. He said it was not like they had made a decision on a project yet, which they had not, but to really take these technologies forward and look at them in light of a landfill, because the question at the end of the day was that we still were going to have waste that we had to deal with no matter how well we did at recycling. He stated that to deal with that, they had to either continue to fill up very expensive holes in the ground that in the long term did not provide us anything other than liability, or we look at something new.

He added the technologies the Authority had looked at like Plasco, Urbaser, and other ones for several years with the US Department of Agriculture, offered them some good opportunities and he believed the CEQA process was where they needed to take these projects to get that final information to make an educative decision for elected officials and the public. He thanked the City Manager for the communication they had in terms of working together in trying to make sure projects like this and other diversion and recycling projects were highlighted in this community. He looked forward to a long relationship going forward and meeting the goals and making Gonzales and the whole Salinas Valley a lot greener.

Abel Moran, citizen of Monterey County, said he was concerned because yesterday and today he crossed the whole City of Gonzales and spoke with over 300 people and no one was informed of this project. He mentioned it was very sad for the community members because they wanted to allow this new technology to use Gonzales like a new lab. He stated that it was wonderful to hear the proposal, but if they could not prove they had a running facility in the United States or in California, will be glad to see that. He told the Council he disagreed with this and they needed to get in communication with the community since they represented these people, and their job was to protect them.

Susan Warner, SVSWA, responded to Mr. Moran's comments. She said she could not speak to how many people were polled or the method that was used, but it was true that Gonzales would be a laboratory in a sense. It would be the first City in the State of California that would subject these two proposals to the environmental review process, exactly similar to what they just concluded with the General Plan, which was the process they envisioned

going through these technologies that would convert the trash and use it as a resource so that both the community with its trash, as well as that of the Salinas Valley, would be used for much higher purpose than digging a large hole. She added they were doing community outreach and certainly they needed to do a better job to the citizens, and the environmental review process provided an excellence venue for that level of involvement. She mentioned that was the decision they were asking the board members to make on Thursday evening to allow them to go forward with the CEQA process with one or more of the projects they had been studying.

Margaret Cerna Bonetti, former resident of Gonzales, commented this was a great concern to her. She said she was involved in this particular project as someone who is concerned with the community she had lived in. She indicated she had looked through the proposal that was given by the Solid Waste Authority and in that report, what it outlined was all the outreach that had been done supposedly by the Solid Waste Authority, but what it said next to every single item was Plasco, which she thought was public relations. She mentioned that what was not put out to all of the other people involved was the opposing side if there was one, or the other company who should be betted. She said her major concern was public transparency.

She stated that she was looking at the MOU that had been signed by the City of Gonzales City Council with the Solid Waste Authority, which basically said that the City of Gonzales sits on their hands and they cover their mouth because they were not allowed to oppose anything with the Solid Waste Authority having to do with expansion or any opposition in any way, shape or form. She said that personally, she did not know that was legal, and she was not saying they signed it because some of the Councilmembers now were not even in the Council at the time that it took place, but she felt that was a conflict of interest because they were elected to represent the residents of the City of Gonzales. She asked the Council to take a look at that MOU, and to take a look at what their role is when they get elected into office when people vote them in. She said they basically come to the Council and tell them they trust them that they would hold their interest and not the interest of Plasco or the Solid Waste Authority.

She commented that the meeting on Thursday was initially scheduled in the City of Gonzales where it belonged, and where people who were going to be impacted the most lived in. She said that moving the meeting to the City of Salinas would make it difficult for some of the residents here, especially the elderly to go over to Salinas and make their voice heard. She said she was not even sure that was keeping in line with the Brown Act because it was something that impacted them directly. She asked the Council to think about accessibility to meetings. In regards to the agricultural community, she also commented that every single person that she contacted which were a few, did not know anything about this project, nor had been asked to take part in any type of discussion whatsoever. She added the same went for businesses and residents of Gonzales. She said this was about reminding the Council if that was a legal MOU and for the meeting to be held in Gonzales which was in the best interest of this community. She believed a meeting at 4:00pm in Salinas at the National Steinbeck Center was quite an ordeal for someone who may be frail or worked late. She asked for the meeting to be held here at 6:00pm in Gonzales as initially was intended. She added that Plasco had not been able to get this technology since they had been turned down by a lot of cities, and were waiting for contract agreements. She asked the Council to put this in front of their public and let them decide.

Councilmember Gourley did not feel this agenda item was a debate for the new technology project. He felt they could not really debate the project because there was no project defined yet, so we were not asking the public to be here at this meeting or even Thursday's meeting because they had not defined the project to even go out. He indicated that once the EIR was established, then they would start the EIR process when there would be plenty of time for comments and meetings, and that was the time when the public could come out. He did not think if there was any point at Thursday's meeting until the Authority Board knew which direction they were going if they were going to do anything, and had not been decided. So, until there was a project established in his point of view, that was when they would start the draft EIR and go out to solicit the comments. He wanted to convey that for whoever was watching the meeting tonight.

As far as the document signed by the City of Gonzales and the Solid Waste Authority, he articulated that each one of the Council members could personally support or contest any action that the Authority Board was moving forward with. As a whole, they could not, but personally, they could.

City Manager Mendez clarified that the Council could oppose whatever they wanted to oppose and Councils could not buy in future Councils, and all that meant was the MOU was no longer in force. He said the issue then was that the Authority or the City could back out of the agreement, which there was a process, and if there were some things that were not honored, then the MOU was no longer in force. He indicated that if the Council decided to take a position that was contrary to what the MOU was, they could do it and then the MOU was no longer in force.

Councilmember Gourley commented that they spent a lot of years trying to get that landfill pushed somewhere else, but we were definitely going to make sure as a Council that Gonzales got every advantage that we possibly could with living with that landfill in our community, which not only meant the City of Gonzales, but the farming community and everyone else. He said we had an advantage of getting something for having that landfill here, and we were going to push it the whole way. He added they were working on some things with the Authority such as landscaping, fencing, truck route, etc. He concluded that Councilmember Silva was the representative who would fight for us and bring our wishes forward to the Authority Board.

Mayor Orozco thanked the public for their comments.

The Council received the report. No action required.

## ***BUSINESS FROM CITY COUNCIL***

### 10. City Council Board/Committee Reports

- a. League of California Cities Monterey Bay Division – none.
- b. Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments – Mayor Pro Tem Funk reported that the focus had been on the blueprints and the process was presented at the last meeting. AMBAG requested its staff to go back out to City staff to pursue more information.

- c. Transportation Agency for Monterey County – Mayor Orozco announced she was the Chair of the Board this year, and reported on the items on the agenda regarding champions awards and City of Salinas request on impact fees.
- d. Mayor Selection Committee – Mayor Orozco reported on the selection of Mayors to serve on various agency and there was one pending on the Water Board Agency.
- e. Salinas Valley Solid Waste Authority – Councilmember Silva announced the next meeting on January 20<sup>th</sup> at 4:00pm at the National Steinbeck Center, and there would be a short break in between, since they were having their Annual Retreat and Strategic Planning that day also. She also announced the Prison’s Citizens Advisory Committee meeting this Thursday at 1:00pm at the Salinas Valley State Prison.
- f. Monterey Salinas Transit – Mayor Orozco reported on a recent study for the City of Gonzales regarding transit needs. There was a need for an increase in service hours later in the evening, which consultants would be looking at.
- g. City & School Joint Steering Committee – None.
- h. Citizens Advisory Committee – City Manager Mendez suggested leaving this on the list.
- i. Community Center Committee – None.
- j. LAFCO – None.

11. Oral Communication

There was none.

**BUSINESS FROM CITY MANAGER**

12. Oral Communication

City Manager Mendez reported that the Basketball Program had almost 100 kids which were several age groups and were expecting more. On the State front, he reported that the issues were elimination of the Redevelopment Agencies.

In regards to the RDA, he mentioned that we had no projects right now since the Agency built the Police Station, Joint Use Gym, and Housing Authority Project. He said the issue that we had was the 2006 notes. He added that he had been talking to the Council about options or what may happen. He said agencies up and down the State were scrambling, but his recommendation now was to not over react, and wait until more details come out. He indicated there were contractual agreements and constitutional protections that needed to be worked through, and from our prospective since we did not have a project currently, we were in a different position than other agencies might be.

He reported that the other issue was the complete elimination of the Enterprise Zones, but it did not mean a lot of fiscal impacts to us. They would eliminate an economic development tool that we have had in the Valley and he informed on what we were doing with the vouchers. He said he was going to invite a presentation to the Council next month regarding a Welcome Center which would have all the County Cities. He also indicated that the COPS Program may be eliminated, which was \$100,000 to the City of Gonzales to fund a Police Officer.

Mayor Orozco thanked him for the report and asked him to keep the Council updated.

City Manager Mendez added that if we had to call a special meeting to adopt some special resolutions or if there was an urgency, we would do that.

Department Head Updates

Public Works Director Lopez reported on the Centennial Park Lights walkthrough.

Public Safety Director Miller asked everyone to slow down since school was back in session.

Building Official Lechman reported that the Cabernet Drive problem had been resolved.

Councilmember Silva asked for an update on the new business on Fourth Street.

Building Official Lechman responded it was El Rinconcito Restaurant who had a final walkthrough with the County tomorrow and would open on Saturday if all went well.

Mayor Orozco asked about the status on the Dairy Queen.

City Manager Mendez responded that he spoke to Mr. Shaw who was pursuing a couple of fast food restaurants and hoped to have information next week.

Councilmember Gourley asked what the liability would be to open Gabilan Street.

City Manager Mendez responded that staff would talk about this to see what to do with it and hoped to have a report to the Council next month.

Mayor Orozco thanked Consultant Farrel for his work on the General Plan and his services to the City and welcomed Community Development Director Truszkowski.

\* **BUSINESS FROM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**

13. Oral Communication

There was none.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Mayor Pro Tem Funk made a motion to adjourn. Councilmember Gourley seconded the motion. Approved by vote; all ayes.

The Joint City Council and Redevelopment Agency meeting was adjourned at 7:32pm.

**ATTEST:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**René L. Mendez**, City Clerk