

MINUTES

JOINT GONZALES CITY COUNCIL, REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
AND PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY
REGULAR MEETING
DECEMBER 14, 2009
5:00P.M.

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Orozco called the meeting to order at 5:00p.m.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The flag salute was recited by all present.

1. ROLL CALL-

CITY COUNCIL AND REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY MEMBERS PRESENT:

Mayor Pro Tem Scott Funk, Matt Gourley, Liz Silva, Jose G. Lopez, and Mayor Maria Orozco.

STAFF PRESENT:

City Manager René L. Mendez, City Attorney Michael F. Rodriguez, Community Development Director Bill Farrel, Administrative Specialist/Deputy City Clerk Mary Villegas, Recreation Coordinator/Administrative Analyst Sara Papineau-Brandt, Building Official/Fire Marshal Michael Lechman, Public Safety Director Paul Miller, Public Works Director Carlos Lopez, and Emergency Services Director/Special Projects Analyst Harold Wolgamott.

- * Redevelopment Agency Item
- ◆ Financing Authority Item

INFORMATIONAL ITEM

2. Construction Tour of the New Police Station

City Manager Mendez stated that the idea was to update the Council on what was going on with the new Police Station. He said the public was welcomed to join them in the tour.

The Council and staff took a fifteen minute tour of the New Police Station.

Mayor Orozco thanked Public Safety Director Miller for the tour and said it would be a great facility.

BUSINESS FROM THE PUBLIC

3. Recreation Coordinator Papineau presented a check for \$761 to Mayme Kulper, Gonzales Food Pantry, on behalf of the City in the fundraising efforts.

Mayme Kulper thanked the City and said this money would help tremendously. She said that Pastor Ed Lee was the spear head of the Food Pantry from their Church. She indicated that they did 50 baskets for Thanksgiving and had 52 ready to go next Saturday morning.

Reverend Ed Lee thanked the community of Gonzales on behalf of the Church and Salvation Army. He said that gifts like this from today made it possible to feed all the hungry families.

CONSENT AGENDA

4. Approve the November 16, 2009 Joint City Council and Redevelopment Agency Minutes
- * 5. Approve RDA Accounts Payable Warrants #1027 - 1032
6. Approve City Accounts Payable Warrants #24431 – 24541
7. Approve City Accounts Payable Warrant #24446 – Matt Gourley
8. Review the Building Department Permit Activity Report for November 2009
9. Departmental Activity Reports
 - a. Building/Fire Marshal Department
 - b. Planning Department
 - c. Public Safety Department
 - d. Public Works Department
 - e. Recreation Department
10. Consider Approval of Resolution No. 2009-68, A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Gonzales Accepting Completion of the 2009 South Alta Street Overlay Project and File Notice of Completion
- * 11. Consider Approval of Resolution No. 2009-69, A Joint Resolution of the City Council of the City of Gonzales and the Gonzales Redevelopment Agency Approving and Adopting an Identity Theft Prevention Program
12. Consider Approval of Resolution No. 2009-70, A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Gonzales Authorizing the Filing of an Application for Transportation Development Act Local Transportation Funds for Fiscal Year 2009-2010
13. Consider Approval of a Cooperative Agreement Between the Cities of Gonzales, Greenfield, Soledad, and King City to Share Services
14. AB 1600 Impact Fee Report for FY 2008-2009
- * 15. September 2009 Financial Progress Report

Councilmember Gourley abstained from item 7. He also pulled consent item 10 for further discussion.

In regards to Consent Agenda Item 10, Councilmember Gourley asked if we were going to continue to have the problem about the sections of asphalt that slid and if we were satisfied with the results.

Public Works Director Lopez responded that the repairs he was referring to were done through the previous project, which was a different contractor. He said that since then, staff re-evaluated the design and changed the design parameters, so they should not occur.

Action: Mayor Pro Tem Funk made a motion to approve the consent agenda items. Councilmember Lopez seconded the motion. Approved by vote; all ayes. Councilmember Gourley abstained from item 7.

REGULAR AGENDA

Councilmember Gourley said that in the spirit of giving with the Holiday Season and there being another 4-H meeting this evening, he asked the Mayor if she could move item 19 to the beginning of the agenda.

Mayor Orozco concurred.

19. Proposed Guidelines and Standards for Processing of Applications for the “Gonzales Youth Animal Husbandry Pilot Program”

Community Development Director Farrel reported that on September 8, 2009, the Council discussed the recommendations of the Planning Commission concerning permitting of farm animals on residential properties within the City as part of 4-H and FFA club projects. Following discussion, the Council directed staff to develop administrative procedures to govern applications that would also incorporate the recommendations of the Planning Commission. The procedures were to include: Use of an administrative process to issue permits and develop guidelines – ordinance changes would not be undertaken at this time; The animal program would be on a trial basis and temporary to meet the youth’s needs; Animal permits would be for a maximum of 120 days per year per home; Allow individual applications at the discretion of the Animal Control Officer; Size of animal to be considered; Limit the program to 4-H and FFA organizations; Two year sunset time limit on this process; and Notification and agreement of neighbors required in order to approve applications.

He also mentioned that Council members raised a number of concerns to be addressed prior to putting a new administrative process into action, which included: How health and safety issues would be handled and involvement of Health Department; Time involvement of Animal Control Officer; Notification to neighbors – how to handle; How many feet from the home would farm animals be allowed; How many animals allowed per site; How will sanitation issues be addressed; How will noise be controlled; How will runoff be controlled; and Research and report on experience of other cities.

Community Development Director Farrel stated that since the Council meeting, staff had worked on addressing the Council’s concerns and preparing the program guidelines, and several issues had been carefully considered because they affected the way the City proceeds with this program. While these were discussed previously with the Council to some extent, they were significant enough to warrant additional review.

He went over key considerations that included, the Animal Permit and Program Accessibility; Discretionary Nature of Program and Revocation Authority; Adequacy of Existing Administrative Procedures; Lack of City Staff Experience with Animal Husbandry; Swine, Sheep and Goats; Animal waste; Setbacks from Cropland; Code enforcement; Staff time requirements/application fees; and what Other Cities were doing.

He explained that the basic features of the proposed administrative procedures and guidelines which were attached to the report for Council consideration were as follows:

1) The program as proposed herein will initially be titled the "Gonzales Youth Animal Husbandry Pilot Program" with the following objectives: "A special, temporary, two-year pilot program to enable the City of Gonzales to develop information, experience and technical knowledge necessary to determine the feasibility of permitting the raising farm animals on residential properties within the City of Gonzales as part of youth educational projects by recognized youth organizations, consistent with the protection of the public health, safety and welfare"; 2) The program would be on a trial basis and will automatically sunset at the end of two years. However, after the first year staff will return to the Council with a report on program operation, and the Council can at that time direct adjustments to be made, or direct discontinuance; 3) Administration of the program will follow the procedures currently found in Title 7, Chapter 7.12. This Chapter provides all of the authority necessary to issue animal permits, make inspections, and if needed, revoke permits. Additional provisions, not found in Chapter 7.12 will be as described below and are contained in the attached draft Guidelines; 4) A Temporary Use of Land permit must also be obtained from the planning department, as this is the only means of addressing the limitations on animal keeping in the residential zoning districts currently found in the code. The issuance of the animal permit by the Animal Control Officer and the TULP will be coordinated to avoid redundancy. The TULP will only be issued upon determination of the animal control officer that an animal permit will be issued; 5) Permits would be for a maximum of 120 days per year per home. Only one permit per year per home will be issued. It is noted that animal-raising for the Salinas Valley Fair can begin in March. The Monterey County Fair is in August or September. Together raising animals to show in both fairs would require keeping an animal on the residential property for around 200 days. The 120-day limit would not enable someone to raise animals for both fairs, and would not enable several youth in a home to obtain separate permits and raise animals at different times for different fairs; 6) Permits under the pilot program will be limited to members of the Gonzales 4-H Club, FFA or another recognized youth educational program and will be issued only upon evidence provided by the club leadership that the applicant is enrolled in an animal raising program and that space is not available for the youth to keep his/her animal at the Gonzales High School animal compound or on another rural property outside the City. The applicants will need to provide a letter with this confirmation as part of the application; 7) Permits will be limited only to swine, sheep and goats. Not more than two animals on any property at any time; 8) Approval of applications is not automatic. The Animal Control Officer retains authority under the City Code to deny any permit he/she believes will result in a public nuisance, although this denial could be appealed by the applicant; 9) Notification of neighbors and the Planning Commission is provided for in Chapter 7.12.060 of the City Code. This section of the code means that prior to issuing an animal permit, the animal control officer shall provide a written record of his investigation, findings, and basis for intending to issue a permit and any conditions attendant, will be made available to the neighbors and to the Planning Commission and they shall have 20 days within which to appeal the proposed permit to the City Council. Staff will report pending permits to the Planning Commission. We can go over the permit with the Commission informally. Neighbors will also be notified so that they can attend and learn about the proposal and comment. Staff does not recommend obtaining neighbors "consent" to the animal permit prior to permit issuance. The neighbors have the right of appeal if they are opposed to the original permit issuance and so can express objection in that way. Further, they may not wish to give "consent" at the time the permit is issued, since

they will want to remain free to file a complaint once the animal is situated on the adjoining property (if they believe a nuisance is taking place). It may take up to two months to complete the application process, staff review and approval, and to exhaust the appeal period. Club members wishing to apply for a permit to raise an animal at home, starting in March, should plan on submitting applications as early as possible in January; and 10) Each applicant will need to show how compatibility with the neighborhood, avoidance of nuisances, and humane treatment of the project animal will be accomplished.

Community Development Farrel indicated that to assist applicants, the City would require a preliminary review of the site (without cost to the applicant) before the youth enrolls in a club project. He stated that this may help qualify or disqualify some residential properties, avoid disappointment, and enable the participant to have time to find another site.

He mentioned that the 50-foot separation rule found in Chapter 7.12. was part of the City Code, adopted by ordinance, and could not be set aside by establishing these guidelines. If the Council did wish to allow for a reduced separation, then staff should be instructed to return with a formal amendment to the City Code. He added that staff would be reasonable in administering this, and could provide some limited leeway, not more than 5-foot reduction, if all other parts of the project appeared viable. However, staff estimated that less than 10% of all residences in the City would be able to meet this basic standard.

He stated that where the residential lot adjoined crop lands, any animal enclosures should also be set back 50' feet from the property line due to concern for food safety. He said that most of these lots were in the older parts of the City where lot depths of 140 feet and alley did offer some opportunities. He added that this condition in itself may significantly limit the number of youths that can use a home site for their project.

Mayor Orozco mentioned that this certainly presented challenges for our Code Enforcement Officer in terms of monitoring and making sure that everything was in compliance. She stated that for the residents, it would be a challenge to make sure they were complying.

Councilmember Silva indicated that with regards to the monitoring of pens, she believed it was customary that the leaders from the 4-H club did that on a regular basis. She asked Community Development Director Farrel for clarification if the club was saying they could not go to the homes.

Community Development Director Farrel responded that it was what he understood as well. He said that we had initially hoped to utilize the monitoring activities so that staff would not have to do as much. He added that this was the part that the 4-H club said they wanted to do what they did independently and that staff retain their own responsibility. He stated that staff would like to come up with an agreed upon monitoring program as part of each application so that they could come over a couple of times during the life of the permit.

Councilmember Silva asked if he was saying that the 4-H leaders would not monitor their homes.

Community Development Director Farrel responded that they would continue to do that but in conjunction with their own program. He said this would be an addition and separate from the City's monitoring.

Councilmember Silva said it was her understanding that several of the 4-H and FFA members took animals to more than one fair. She said they raised the animals from 60 to 90 days. She felt the 120 days seemed to squeeze the time down and they were a few young individuals that went to a third fair in the area. She recalled that it was suggested to the Planning Commission to be no more than 100 days per fair, and may be it could be increased per fair and not to exceed 180 during a calendar year. She stated that as to the 50 foot setback, if the agricultural rules from the farm bureau that he received today said 30 foot setback, she believed it was an acceptable distance.

Community Development Director Farrel stated that it was 50 feet from the cropland, not the City's 50 feet from another residence. He said there were two standards, which were the existing standard found in the municipal code that was no closer than 50 feet to a neighbor's residence. He said that this was the part that we believed we could not change through these guidelines since it was the existing provision of the code.

Councilmember Silva said that we were not changing the code, just trying to make something that would set an example for the rest of the valley towns with a two year program and we could pull it at any time.

City Manager Mendez responded that she was correct. He added that staff believed that if we needed to address the 50 feet issue between homes, we had to amend the code. He said we could change the issue with the 30 feet to 50 feet for the farmland, but if the Council wanted to address the 50 feet issue for the homes, staff needed to bring back the change in the code but the Council had to be aware that there was a potential consequence or issue. Councilmember Silva asked how many homes would be involved.

Community Development Director Farrel responded that staff estimated about less than 10% of the homes would be able to meet the 50 feet separation.

Mayor Pro Tem Funk asked if staff knew the main reason why there was 50 feet separation in between residences.

Community Development Director Farrel responded he did not know and that was something that found its way into the code years ago.

In regards to the animal waste portion of the report, Mayor Orozco asked how staff would know that would happen. She asked if there would be an agreement as part of the application.

Community Development Director Farrel responded that staff would ask the applicant to submit a letter showing that they made an agreement with the waste company.

In regards to the setbacks from the cropland to indemnify the City, Mayor Orozco asked if this was just for those that the setbacks were close to the cropland or all the applicants.

Community Development Director Farrel said they only called it out to the cropland in case there was a potential for crop loses.

Mayor Orozco asked the City Attorney if an animal escaped and did damages, would that resident be liable for any damages to neighboring properties.

City Attorney Rodriguez responded yes. He said staff may want to have at least a whole harmless provision and indemnification.

Mayor Orozco asked after how many complaints, such as barking animals, folks were cited.

Public Safety Director Miller responded there was not a number. He said they took the complaints based on what was going on. He added that normally the first approach was to go out and make the animal owner aware of the fact that there was a complaint, and if there were more complaints, they would take enforcement action. He stated that the issue that fell into this was whether or not the Police was present and the violation was occurring which was an infraction under the municipal code. He said that by signing a complaint, they could take immediate enforcement action by issuing a citation allowing that to go through court procedure.

In regards to item 10, Mayor Orozco asked if there was a statement or letter from the applicant as to how this would be accomplished. She could not imagine how they would be able to demonstrate this.

Community Development Director Farrel responded that a package of materials would represent that, like presentation made to the City on the physical drainage controls, pens, setbacks, etc.

Mayor Orozco asked if staff would come up with a packet with a check off list mainly for the Police Department to look at and making sure everything was in compliance.

Community Development Director Farrel responded that it would be two parts, the set of guidelines and the application.

Public Comment: Jason Muscio, 118 A Street, 4-H Leader, addressed the question about monitoring. He said that as a leader, it was his duty to make sure the animal was treated humanely, pens kept clean, and animal was in good condition. He stated that what they did not want to get caught up in was the 4-H Club trying to do code enforcement or do any official things as far as looking at setbacks. He reiterated that as far as humane treatment of the animals, he had no problem. He added that typically during the course of the fair, he checked each animal every two weeks for weigh in and feed adjustments if necessary, and as it got closer to fair time, he went once a week. In regards to timelines, he stated that they started in January and went through the month of September if the child did King City and Monterey Fairs, and if they wanted to do other fairs, it would be longer than that.

Councilmember Silva asked if the Monterey Fair had been moved to the first weekend in September of 2010.

Mr. Muscio responded yes.

Councilmember Gourley thanked everyone for showing up including Mr. Muscio. He said that when he first received a complaint, he checked to see what other cities were doing, and

there was one City that let the kids do their thing. He stated that in Soledad, the Animal Control Officer would take a look at those sites, and he did not believe there was a permit process since he checked on this himself.

As to the water runoff and pigs getting heated up, he did not believe we saw that type of heat here in Gonzales to be worried about watering down the pigs. He did not believe they did get into this as stated in the staff report to get down into a puddle of water. In regards to the 4-H leaders being the police agency, he did not believe it was never the intention of anything that he said or the Council said. He mentioned that his intention was that it was going to be an agreement with the parent and City staff. He said his comments made during the meeting had to do with the biweekly checks that the leader was checking in. He related that there was a comment made to him that there were no pigs ever being turned away from the school farm. He mentioned that one of his daughter's animals was turned away from being kept at the school farm for the Monterey Fair last year. He added that he spoke to the FFA Leader today who said he did not have an idea how many animals were going to be in the barn until some time in January. He said the leader did not relay to anyone about how many pens he would have available this year.

Councilmember Gourley commented that by the time they figured out in January how many pens would be available at the school farm, it was too late to get into the permitting process with the City. He wished to remove this part from the ordinance where it said that space was not available under item 6. He said that composting issue was a no brainer at all. He believed this was definitely a great start but there were a couple of things that they could just work on. He noted that under item 5, it mentioned that you could only have one permit per year, per home, but in item 7, it mentioned not more than 2 animals at any property at the same time. He believed it was a little unclear. He said they would be taking two animals to the King City Fair which was late January to the late part of May, but if the kids decided to go to Monterey, that was another issue. In regards to the 50 foot separation rule, he believed there needed to be some room. He said that the City had a fertilizer facility and there was housing, and there was no 50 foot separation rule. He believed that was more dangerous than what they were doing. He said there were things to think about whether they had fencing and how tall it was, if it was upwind or downwind, etc. He mentioned he did not have a problem with the permit process and checklist. He said this was a special temporary two year pilot program, and if we started having problems with this, the City Manager or Public Safety Director could come back to the City Council and we could drop the program.

Mayor Orozco told Councilmember Gourley that she did not have any doubt that he would take every precautionary measure to ensure his animals would be in compliance. She said she felt comfortable with the recommendations being proposed by staff since there were enough safety measures. She expressed her concern that not everybody would be in compliance, and we wanted to make sure they were in compliance. She said they talked about the smell that we sometimes were subjected to, but this was 120 days of the smell in someone's backyard. She mentioned that staff had done an excellent job in assuring everyone was in compliance, and felt indemnifying the City was very important. She said she was prepared to go forward with what was being proposed as it was.

Councilmember Silva wished to have staff adjust the days because 120 days would only allow one animal per person and would cover only one fair. She said that as far as the

smell, Mr. Muscio, as the leader would check the members every two weeks for the swine. She believed some of us had neighbors who had domesticated animals in their yards that sometimes created a worse odor than some of these project animals. She remembered that Planning Commissioner Rios mentioned that he was unaware that there were animals being kept close to his home because he never heard, saw or smelled them.

Councilmember Gourley made a motion to move this ordinance forward with a few exceptions. His motion would include two permits so you can have as written in item 7, not more than two animals on the property at any time, but have it cover the fair time for Monterey and Salinas Valley Fairs, and not have it regulated to whether there was space being available at the Gonzales High School Animal Compound or School Farm. He said his motion would lift these two regulations along with giving some flexibility to the 50 foot separation rule and make it same as ag, 30 foot separation rule.

City Attorney Rodriguez said they could not do that. He indicated that they would have to change the code in order to do that. He said that they had no flexibility there. He added that they had the flexibility with the ag, but not with the residential one unless we brought it back for a code change. He told Councilmember Gourley that basically he was asking to ignore the code.

Councilmember Gourley said ok.

Action: He reiterated that with those two changes previously mentioned, he wanted to move the permit process forward as written. He said that under item 6, his motion would omit the space whether is available for the youth or not to keep them in the Animal Compound, and the days that you could keep your animals would be from the second week in January through September. Councilmember Silva seconded the motion. Approved by vote: Councilmembers Funk, Gourley, Silva, and Lopez. Abstained: Mayor Orozco.

◆ 16. Annual Public Financing Authority Report

Executive Director Mendez presented the staff report.

Public Comment: There was none.

Action: Board Member Gourley made a motion to accept the 2009 Annual Public Financing Authority Report. Vice Chair Funk seconded the motion. Approved by vote; all ayes.

17. **Public Hearing** to Consider Approval of Resolution No. 2009-67, A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Gonzales Authorizing the City of Gonzales to Join the CaliforniaFirst Program; Authorizing the California Statewide Communities Development Authority to Accept Applications from Property Owners, Conduct Contractual Assessment Proceedings and Levy Contractual Assessments within the Territory of the City; and Authorizing Related Actions

Special Projects Analyst Wolgamott presented the staff report.

Mayor Orozco opened the public hearing at 6:17pm.

Public Hearing: No comments came forward.

Being there no comments from the public, Mayor Orozco closed the public hearing at 6:17pm.

Councilmember asked if there was an income level or if it was open to anyone who wanted to participate.

Tim McCormick, Monterey County Director of Building Services, stated that this was a great opportunity for all members of Monterey County. He said the criteria were to be current on the property tax bills and maintaining a reasonable loan to value ratio. He said this was a brand new program authorized under AB811, and Monterey County was one of 14 counties who threw an aggressive campaign. He added that one of the advantages of joining for Gonzales and the County was that they would be able to make sure that all of the rules of the pilot program made sense. He thanked City Manager Mendez for his leadership on this and the collaboration.

Mayor Pro Tem Funk asked if there was an opportunity to include home owners who were experiencing their home values dropping.

Mr. McCormick responded that given the amount of money that was typically spent on this was about \$30,000 and did not know how often that problem would come up. He thought this was something that could probably be worked out in the details of the program, such as the net value and how much they owed.

Councilmember Gourley asked if any of the Council members were prohibited from this program.

City Attorney Rodriguez believed that if they were receiving the same benefits that all other members of the community were being offered, he said there may be the opportunity for them to participate, but he would double check.

City Manager Mendez added that the City was not approving who was getting the money, so that would be between the participant and the program.

Mr. McCormick mentioned that the actual approval was through the California Communities and they had their own consultant.

Action: Councilmember Gourley made a motion to adopt Resolution 2009-67 and Authorize the City Manager to amend the Community Development Fund (*Fund 120*) by increasing appropriations in Special Departmental Expenditure (*object code 6210*) by \$10,000 for this program. Councilmember Silva seconded the motion. Approved by vote; all ayes.

18. Consider Approval of Resolution No. 2009-71, A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Gonzales Approving an Application for an Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant (EECBG) for an Energy Efficiency Lighting Retrofit Project, and Authorizing the Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments Energy Watch to Submit a Collaborative Application on its Behalf to the California Energy Commission

Public Works Director Lopez presented the staff report.

Emily Schell, AMBAG Energy Watch Program, went over the details of the program.

Public Comment: There was none.

Action: Mayor Pro Tem Funk made a motion to adopt Resolution No. 2009-71. Councilmember Gourley seconded the motion. Approved by vote; all ayes.

BUSINESS FROM CITY COUNCIL

20. Oral Communication

Councilmember Silva congratulated and thanked everyone who attended the SBDC grand opening.

Mayor Pro Tem Funk wished everyone Happy Holidays and reminded those in the giving spirits about the toy collection barrels around town.

Councilmember Lopez thanked staff for the City's Christmas Party last Friday and said it was a privilege to be there with all of them. He thanked Public Safety Director Miller and his staff for their help with the Church's festivities.

Councilmember Gourley wished everyone a Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year. He announced the Chamber Mixer to be held at Rabobank this Wednesday at 6:30pm. He also said that La Gloria Arts & Crafts Fair was well attended.

Mayor Orozco thanked all the staff and volunteers who participated in the Holiday Lights event. She also thanked Public Safety Director Miller for the Community Policing meetings. She wished everyone a Merry Christmas and Best Wishes for the New Year.

BUSINESS FROM CITY MANAGER

21. Oral Communication

City Manager Mendez reminded everyone that City Hall would close the normal Holiday days but would be open for business as normal. He reported that we were seeing a lot of progress with the Industrial Park.

Public Works Director Lopez reported that aside from paving Fifth Street, all the water and sewer services were on for the Joint-Use Gym.

Public Safety Director Miller reminded everyone to drive carefully during the rainy season.

Building Official Lechman reported that in regards to the Industrial Park, they did most of the preliminary grading and brought in building plans and sent corrected copies back to them for adjustments. He added that they would start the foundation next.

Recreation Coordinator Papineau announced kids activities during winter break from 9:00am – 10:00am for preschool, and 10:00am to noon for ages 5 – 14. She said this would be December 21st – December 24th; December 28th – December 31st; and January 4th – January 8th. She also announced three field trips December 23rd, December 30th, and January 6th. She added that if people did not pick up Santa pictures taken at the Holiday Lights event, they could pick them up at the Chamber of Commerce. She reminded kids to wear a helmet when riding a bike or skateboards, and the adults to watch out while driving.

Mayor Pro Tem Funk asked if the flyers had gone out to the school.

Recreation Coordinator Papineau said they should hit Wednesday, but she was waiting to confirm one thing before putting them out.

Mayor Pro Tem Funk asked if we had an opportunity to notify kids who did not attend local schools.

Recreation Coordinator Papineau responded that she gave the flyers to Julie. She said they could put flyers in the grocery stores or library. She said she usually gave them to Susie at Jim's Liquors.

Mayor Pro Tem Funk asked if she had locked in 2010 events.

Recreation Coordinator responded that she was waiting for some people to call her back and give her dates to give out the complete dates, but hers were locked in.

* **BUSINESS FROM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**

22. Oral Communication

There was none.

Light refreshments were served at the end of the meeting.

ADJOURNMENT

Mayor Orozco made a motion to adjourn. Councilmember Gourley seconded the motion. Approved by vote; all ayes.

The Joint City Council, Redevelopment Agency, and Public Financing Authority meeting was adjourned at 6:32pm.

ATTEST:



René L. Mendez, City Clerk